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Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements
Our public communications often include written or verbal “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the US Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 “safe harbor” provisions and “forward-looking information” within the meaning of applicable Canadian securities laws (collectively, “forward-looking statements”). Forward-looking statements are disclosures regarding possible events, conditions, or results of operations that are based on assumptions about future economic conditions or courses of action and include future-oriented financial information.

Statements of this type are contained and incorporated by reference in this Annual Information Form (AIF) and include:

- The discussion of the expected results of our organizational realignment in the General Development of the Business section in this AIF.
- Our beliefs about our risk management strategy and our ability to compete effectively in the Description of the Business section in this AIF.
- The discussion of our goals, our key performance drivers, and our annual and long-term targets and expectations for our reportable segments, which can be found in our Management’s Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) section of our Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2016. Refer to the following sections: Core Business and Strategy, Key Performance Drivers and Capabilities, and the Results (under the Liquidity and Capital Resources subsections) and Outlook sections (incorporated by reference in this AIF and filed under our profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and on EDGAR as an exhibit to our Form 40-F at www.sec.gov).

Forward-looking statements may involve, but are not limited to, comments with respect to our objectives for 2017 and beyond, strategies or future actions, dividend policy, targets, expectations for our financial condition or share price, and results of our outlook for our operations.

The purpose of this information is to describe management’s expectations and targets for measuring our success and to assist our shareholders to understand our financial position as at and for the periods ended on the dates presented in this AIF. We caution readers that this information may not be appropriate for other purposes.

By their nature, forward-looking statements require us to make assumptions and are subject to inherent risks and uncertainties. There is significant risk that predictions, forecasts, conclusions, projections, and other forward-looking statements will not prove to be accurate. We caution readers of this AIF not to place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements since a number of factors could cause actual future results, conditions, actions, or events to differ materially from the targets, expectations, estimates, or intentions expressed in these forward-looking statements.

The following factors—among others listed under the Key Performance Drivers and Capabilities section of our MD&A for the year ended December 31, 2016 (incorporated by reference in this AIF and filed under our profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and on EDGAR as an exhibit to our Form 40-F at www.sec.gov)—could cause our actual results to differ materially from those projected in our forward-looking statements:

- Global capital market activities
- Fluctuations in interest rates or currency values
- Fluctuations in commodity prices
- Effects of war or terrorist activities in the geographic areas in which we operate
• Effects of disease or illness on local, national, or international economies
• Effects of disruptions to public infrastructure, such as transportation, communications, power, and water
• Global economic or political conditions
• Regulatory or statutory developments
• Effects of competition in the geographic or business areas in which we operate
• Actions of management
• Technological changes

Many of these factors are beyond our control and have effects that are difficult to predict.

Assumptions about the performance of the Canadian, US, and global economies in 2017 and how such performance will affect our business are material factors that we consider when determining our forward-looking statements. These assumptions are discussed in the Outlook section of our MD&A for the year ended December 31, 2016 (incorporated by reference in this AIF and filed under our profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and on EDGAR as an exhibit to our Form 40-F at www.sec.gov).

For additional information regarding material and known risks and assumptions, see pages M-68 to M-78 and M-80 to M-81 of our MD&A, and for further information about our key performance drivers, see pages M-11 to M-19. Our MD&A for the year ended December 31, 2016 is incorporated by reference in this AIF and filed on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and on EDGAR as an exhibit to our Form 40-F at www.sec.gov.

We caution that various factors, including those discussed in our MD&A, could adversely affect our results. Investors and others should carefully consider these factors—as well as other uncertainties and potential events and the inherent uncertainty of forward-looking statements—when relying on these statements to make decisions about our Company.

The forward-looking statements contained in this AIF represent our expectations as at February 22, 2017, and are subject to change after that date. Except as may be required by law, we do not undertake to update any written or verbal forward-looking statement that we may make from time to time. Our current practice is to evaluate and, where we deem appropriate, provide updates to ranges of expected performance for 2017. However, subject to legal requirements, we may change this practice at any time at our sole discretion.
Corporate Structure

Name, Address, and Incorporation

Stantec Inc. was incorporated under the Canada Business Corporations Act on March 23, 1984, as 131277 Canada Ltd. We have amended our Articles of Incorporation on several occasions to change share attributes, create and delete classes of shares, reorganize our outstanding share capital and split our common shares on a two-for-one basis, and change the minimum and maximum number of directors of our board.

Since incorporation, we have also amended our Articles of Incorporation several times to change our Company’s name:

- August 15, 1984 – 131277 Canada Ltd. changed to Stanley Engineering Group Inc.
- October 18, 1989 – Stanley Engineering Group Inc. changed to Stanley Technology Group Inc.
- March 30, 1994 – Stanley Technology Group Inc. amalgamated with 3013901 Canada Limited and continued as Stanley Technology Group Inc.
- October 28, 1998 – Stanley Technology Group Inc. changed to Stantec Inc.

Our head and principal office and our registered and records office are at 10160 – 112 Street, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, T5K 2L6.

In this AIF, references to “Stantec” and the “Company” include (as the context may require) Stantec Inc. and all or some companies in which it has an interest. References to “our,” “us,” and “we” also refer to Stantec.

Intercorporate Relationships

The following chart lists, as at December 31, 2016, the intercorporate relationships among Stantec and its main operating subsidiaries; the percentage of voting and restricted shares of the subsidiaries owned, controlled, or directed by Stantec; and the governing jurisdiction of these subsidiaries. For our other subsidiaries not included in this list, the total assets and revenue owned, controlled, or directed by Stantec do not constitute more than 10%, individually, of the consolidated assets or consolidated revenues of Stantec as at December 31, 2016. These excluded subsidiaries also do not constitute more than 20%, in the aggregate, of the consolidated assets or consolidated revenues of Stantec as at December 31, 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Subsidiary</th>
<th>Percentage of Voting Shares</th>
<th>Percentage of Restricted Shares (1)</th>
<th>Governing Jurisdiction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3221969 Nova Scotia Company</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Nova Scotia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9073-4195 Québec Inc.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Québec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture Stantec Québec Ltée</td>
<td>49 (2)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Québec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azimut Services (Central) Inc.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovyze, Inc.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovyze Limited</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Insurance Group Inc.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Barbados</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWH Americas, Inc. (3)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Subsidiary</td>
<td>Percentage of Voting Shares</td>
<td>Percentage of Restricted Shares (1)</td>
<td>Governing Jurisdiction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWH Australia Pty Limited</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWH Constructors, Inc.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Delaware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWH International, Inc.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Delaware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWH New Zealand Limited</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWH Treatment Limited</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWH UK Limited</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mustang Acquisition Holdings Inc.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Delaware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stantec Architecture Inc.</td>
<td>0 (2)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stantec Architecture Ltd.</td>
<td>0 (2)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stantec Consulting Caribbean Ltd.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Barbados</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stantec Consulting International LLC</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stantec Consulting International Ltd.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stantec Consulting Ltd./Stantec Experts-conseils ltée</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stantec Consulting Michigan Inc.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stantec Consulting Services Inc.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stantec Delaware II LLC</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Delaware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stantec Geomatics Ltd.</td>
<td>50 (2)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Alberta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stantec Holdings II Ltd.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Alberta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stantec International Inc.</td>
<td>100 (4)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stantec Limited</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>England and Wales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stantec Planning and Landscape Architecture P.C.</td>
<td>0 (2)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Maine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stantec Planning and Landscape Architecture P.C.</td>
<td>0 (2)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stantec Technology International Inc.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Delaware</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) In this AIF, “restricted shares” means nonvoting shares in the capital stock of a subsidiary of the Company.
(2) Stantec has entered into a management agreement with respect to 100% of the voting shares of this corporation that allows Stantec direct control over any disposition of this corporation’s voting shares.
(3) On January 1, 2017, MWH Americas, Inc. merged into Stantec Consulting Services Inc.; the surviving entity is Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
(4) Held by a structured entity (an entity designed so that voting or similar rights are not the dominant factor in deciding who controls the entity).
General Development of the Business

2017

The following information highlights the general development of our business for the current financial year.

ORGANIZATIONAL EVOLUTION

In 2016, we continued the process of realigning our internal structure to better serve our clients. The acquisition of MWH Global, Inc. and its affiliated and subsidiary companies (MWH) added a world-class design presence in the water sector, including a top-tier presence in the United States and United Kingdom, and a world-class presence in waterpower and dams. To best leverage this expertise and offer a higher degree of leadership and increased visibility for clients and employees, effective January 1, 2017, we combined legacy Stantec’s Water sector from the Infrastructure business operating unit and MWH’s Water businesses into a separate business operating unit—Water.

THREE-YEAR HISTORY

Highlights of Stantec’s general development over the past three years follow.

2016

ACQUISITIONS

In 2016, we acquired the following firms in Canada, the United States, and globally:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Business Acquired</th>
<th>Nature of Business</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>Bury Holdings, Inc.</td>
<td>Provides engineering, landscape architecture, planning, construction administration, surveying, and sustainable solutions. Principally located in Austin, Texas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>MWH Global, Inc. (1)</td>
<td>Provides global engineering, consulting, and construction management services. Principally located in Broomfield, Colorado, with additional offices in the United States, United Kingdom, Australia, New Zealand, South and Central America, Europe and the Middle East.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>VOA Associates Incorporated</td>
<td>Provides expertise in architecture, interior design, landscaping, and planning. Principally located in Chicago, Illinois.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>Tkalcic Bengert</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Denotes a significant acquisition. Stantec filed a Business Acquisition Report on July 8, 2016; a copy is available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and on EDGAR as an exhibit to our Form 6-K at www.sec.gov.
OFFICER AND DIRECTOR CHANGES
As Stantec’s chief operating officer (COO), Richard Allen, prepared to retire in 2016, the COO’s roles and responsibilities were divided into two positions to better meet the needs of Stantec’s diverse business model. Effective January 1, 2016:

- Scott Murray was appointed chief operating officer (COO) – this role oversees Company operations, including health and safety, regional operations, and our Project Delivery Office
- Valentino DiManno was appointed chief business officer (CBO) – this newly created role oversees all business operating units, account management, client development, and quality management

Reporting to the chief executive officer (CEO), the COO and CBO have equal leadership responsibility and work together to manage all aspects of Stantec’s business. We believe that these balanced roles further improve and strengthen our balanced leadership model.

In addition, David Emerson did not stand for re-election as a director at our annual general meeting of shareholders held on May 12, 2016, and thus his service as a director of Stantec ended on that date. Effective November 9, 2016, Marie-Lucie Morin was appointed to Stantec’s board of directors.

RENEWAL OF NORMAL COURSE ISSUER BID
On November 10, 2016, we announced that the renewal of our Normal Course Issuer Bid (NCIB) was filed with and approved by the Toronto Stock Exchange (TSX). Pursuant to the 2016 NCIB, Stantec may purchase up to 3,418,357 common shares, representing approximately 3% of Stantec’s 113,945,237 issued and outstanding common shares as of November 2, 2016. Purchases were permitted to commence on November 14, 2016, and terminate no later than November 13, 2017. Except for block purchases permitted by the TSX, the number of shares purchased per day will not exceed 58,371 or approximately 25% of the average daily trading volume for the six full calendar months prior to October 31, 2016. During 2016, 572,825 common shares were repurchased for cancellation at a cost of $18.2 million.

ORGANIZATIONAL EVOLUTION
Continuing the process of realigning our internal structure to better serve our clients, we recognized that although Environmental Services and Energy & Resources share many similar clients, their businesses are in fact different. To provide them with a higher degree of leadership and better visibility within the Company for the services they perform, effective August 2016, we made the Environmental Services group a fourth business operating unit. Our Mining, Oil & Gas, and Power sectors remain within the Energy & Resources business operating unit.

ADDITION OF CONSTRUCTION SERVICES
As a result of the MWH acquisition, our business model expanded to include Construction Services, where we provide construction management and project delivery at-risk services, primarily on water-related projects to key long-term clients in the United States and United Kingdom. For further information regarding Construction Services, see the Description of the Business section in this AIF.

FINANCING
We financed the MWH acquisition through the net proceeds of a public offering of 17,360,000 subscription receipts sold for $30.25 each for gross proceeds of $525.1 million, where each subscription receipt represented the right of the holder to receive one common share upon closing of the MWH acquisition, and funds drawn from the New Credit Facilities (described below).

The public offering of subscription receipts was completed through an agreement with CIBC World Markets Inc. and RBC Dominion Securities Inc. on behalf of a syndicate of underwriters on a bought-deal basis that included additional gross proceeds of up to approximately $78.8 million pursuant to the underwriters’ exercise of their overallotment option to purchase an additional 2,604,000 subscription receipts under the same terms as above.

On May 6, 2016, concurrent with the closing of the MWH acquisition, we entered into an agreement for new $1.25 billion senior secured credit facilities consisting of a senior secured revolving credit facility in the maximum
amount of $800 million and a $450 million term loan in three tranches (the New Credit Facilities). The revolving credit facility expires May 6, 2020, and may be repaid from time to time at our option. The New Credit Facilities are available for future acquisitions, working capital needs, and general corporate purposes. Tranches A and B of the term loan were drawn in Canadian funds of $150 million each (due May 6, 2018, and May 6, 2019, respectively) and tranche C was drawn in US funds of $116.7 million (due May 6, 2020).

2015

STANTEC EXPERTS-CONSEILS LTÉE

Following our acquisition of the Canadian engineering operations of Dessau Inc., effective February 3, 2015, we filed a Certificate and Articles of Amendment to add Stantec Experts-conseils ltée as the French alternative to Stantec Consulting Ltd.

ACQUISITIONS

In 2015, we acquired the following firms in Canada and the United States:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Business Acquired</th>
<th>Nature of Business</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>Canadian engineering operations of Dessau Inc.</td>
<td>Provides engineering services in the healthcare, water, power, energy, transportation, and community development sectors, as well as telecommunications and security services. Principally located in Montreal, Quebec.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>Sparling, Inc.</td>
<td>Provides expertise in electrical engineering and architectural lighting design. Based in Seattle, Washington, with additional offices in San Diego, California, and in Portland, Oregon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>VI Engineering, LLC</td>
<td>Provides specialized engineering, design, analysis, and project management of high-voltage substations, transmission lines, and electric power systems. Principally located in Houston, Texas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>VA Consulting, Inc.</td>
<td>Provides architecture, engineering, planning, and environmental consulting services. Principally located in Irvine, California.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>Fay, Spofford &amp; Thorndike, Inc.</td>
<td>Provides transportation and water infrastructure, building design, and environmental services. Principally located in Burlington, Massachusetts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>Infrastructure Americas Division of Kellogg Brown &amp; Root Services, Inc.</td>
<td>Provides transportation, water and wastewater, aviation, and civil and structural engineering services, including design-build, highway and bridge design, and construction engineering and inspection. Principally located in Houston, Texas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NORMAL COURSE ISSUER BID
On November 5, 2015, Stantec reinstituted a NCIB, which expired on November 9, 2016. Pursuant to the 2015 NCIB, the TSX approved the repurchase of up to 3,774,179 common shares, representing approximately 4% of Stantec’s issued and outstanding common shares at the time. Under the 2015 NCIB, Stantec purchased 572,825 common shares at a weighted average price of $31.76 per share.

DIVESTITURES
In December, we fully divested our operations in India to a company composed of a core group of Stantec leaders and senior staff in Ahmedabad, India. The new company, INI Design Studio, continues to provide design and client services. Stantec plans to continue working with INI Design Studio as a strategic partner for any continued work in the region.

2014

ORGANIZATIONAL REALIGNMENT
Effective January 1, 2014, we realigned our organizational structure, changing from practice area units to three business operating units: Buildings, Energy & Resources, and Infrastructure. We did not change the foundation of our matrix-based leadership structure; we simply realigned it. The realignment was based on the belief that it would create greater accountability for our leadership team, result in more focused growth, and help us to better support our clients and maintain the core elements of our strategy.

OFFICER AND DIRECTOR CHANGES
During 2014, we also realigned our senior leadership team into two levels: the Executive Vice President Team (EVPT) and the Executive Leadership Team (ELT). The EVPT consists of our CEO, chief financial officer (CFO), COO, and the following executive vice presidents (EVPs), appointed in 2014:

- Paul Allen
- Carl Clayton
- Valentino DiManno
- Scott Murray
- Eric Nielsen
- Stanis Smith

The ELT, consisting of senior vice presidents and certain vice presidents, oversees Stantec’s overall performance, including developing and monitoring our business plan, monitoring financial performance and risks, approving policies and procedures, and overseeing acquisitions and divestitures.

Our EVPs are specifically responsible for the performance of our regional operating units and business operating units.

SHARE SPLIT
On September 4, 2014, our board of directors declared a two-for-one share split to be effected by way of a share dividend. Shareholders of record as of the close of business on October 31, 2014, were entitled to the share dividend on the November 14, 2014 payment date.
**ACQUISITIONS**

We acquired the following firms in the United States during 2014:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Business Acquired</th>
<th>Nature of Business</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>Williamsburg Environmental Group, Inc.</td>
<td>Provides specialized services in ecology, environmental restoration, and water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cultural Resources, Inc.</td>
<td>resources, and regulatory support for public and private clients in the power,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>transportation, and energy and resource sectors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Principally located in Williamsburg, Virginia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Provides services in cultural resource management and historic preservation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Principally located in Glen Allen, Virginia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>Processes Unlimited International, Inc.</td>
<td>Provides mechanical engineering and design services; process, chemical,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>structural, automation, instrumentation, and electrical engineering; and control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>panel fabrication services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Principally located in Bakersfield, California.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>JBR Environmental Consultants, Inc.</td>
<td>Provides services to the manufacturing, oil and gas, mining, and power generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and transmission sectors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Principally located in Salt Lake City, Utah.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>Group Affiliates Inc.</td>
<td>Provides architectural, interior design, planning, and engineering services to higher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>education and K-12 clients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Office locations in Dallas, Austin, Houston, and San Antonio, Texas; Detroit,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Michigan; Baltimore, Maryland; Washington, DC; and Charlottesville, Virginia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>Wiley Engineering, Inc.</td>
<td>Provides automation, electrical, and instrumentation engineering services to the oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and gas, mining, power, and industrial sectors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Principally located in Marietta, Georgia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>USKH Inc.</td>
<td>Provides locally based infrastructure, building, and geospatial services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Principally located in Anchorage, Alaska.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>ADD, Inc.</td>
<td>Provides architecture, interior design, planning, and branding services to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>multifamily housing, higher education, and corporate office clients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Principally located in Boston, Massachusetts, and in Miami, Florida.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>Penfield &amp; Smith Engineers, Inc.</td>
<td>Provides civil engineering and land planning services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Principally located in Santa Barbara, California.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more information about the general development of our business and strategies for the upcoming year, see pages M-4 to M-19 and M-58 to M-63 of Stantec’s MD&A for the year ended December 31, 2016 (incorporated by reference in this AIF and filed on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and on EDGAR as an exhibit to our Form 40-F at www.sec.gov).
Description of the Business

Stantec collaborates across disciplines and industries to bring buildings, energy and resources, environmental, and infrastructure projects to life. Our work—engineering, architecture, interior design, landscape architecture, surveying, environmental sciences, construction services, project management, and project economics, from initial project concept and planning through to design, construction, commissioning, maintenance, decommissioning, and remediation—begins at the intersection of community, creativity, and client relationships. With a long-term commitment to the people and places we serve, Stantec has the unique ability to connect to projects on a personal level and advance the quality of life in communities across the globe.

Our business objective is to be a top 10 global design firm.

Our business model is a key element of our strategy. It is based on providing services across diverse geographic regions, business operating units, specializations, and all phases of the infrastructure and facilities project life cycle—planning, design, construction, commissioning, maintenance, decommissioning, and remediation.

Because of the diversity of our model, we can generally adapt to changes in market conditions by offsetting decreased demand for services in one business operating unit or geographic location with increased demand in another. We believe this strategy allows us to mitigate risk while continuing to increase our revenue and earnings. Also, it allows us to provide services to many clients rather than rely on a few large projects for our revenue; we work on tens of thousands of projects, for thousands of clients, in hundreds of locations.

Our chief operating decision maker (CEO) assesses our Company’s performance based on financial information available from our operating segments. In 2015 and the first quarter of 2016, our Company had one reportable segment, Consulting Services, which was an aggregate of our previous operating segments (regional geographic areas).

As a result of the MWH acquisition and effective the second quarter of 2016, our reportable segments (in accordance with IFRS) are based on our two primary service offerings, Consulting Services and Construction Services, and our regional geographic areas. The Company now has four operating and reportable segments: Consulting Services – Canada, Consulting Services – United States, Consulting Services – Global, and Construction Services.

The following table illustrates the breakdown of gross revenue for our reportable segments in 2015 and 2016. All amounts in this AIF are in Canadian dollars unless otherwise noted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Reportable Segment</th>
<th>(millions $)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Consulting Services</td>
<td>2,877.2</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Consulting Services – Canada</td>
<td>1,168.9</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consulting Services – United States</td>
<td>2,070.4</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consulting Services – Global</td>
<td>415.6</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction Services</td>
<td>645.2</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Before acquiring MWH, we had international offices in the Middle East, the United Kingdom, and the Caribbean. MWH had a global presence in the United Kingdom, Australia, New Zealand, South and Central America, Europe, and the Middle East. Since the MWH acquisition, our Consulting Services business continues to operate as three regional operating segments—Canada, the United States, and Global (formerly International); the Construction Services business operates as one segment.

In 2016, we earned 58% of our total gross revenue (including revenue from both Consulting Services and Construction Services) in the United States, 27% in Canada, and 15% in global markets. For Consulting Services, 57% of our gross revenue was earned in the United States, 32% in Canada, and 11% in global markets. For Construction Services, 63% was earned in the United States and 37% in global markets.

For additional information regarding our core business and strategy, see our MD&A for the year ended December 31, 2016, pages M-4 to M-19 (incorporated by reference in this AIF and filed on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and on EDGAR as an exhibit to our Form 40-F at www.sec.gov).

**Research and Development**

We generally conduct research and development for a client’s specific project requirements. Most research and development is conducted in the areas of infrastructure evaluation and management systems, hydraulic modeling of water and wastewater systems, wastewater treatment, and pavement evaluation and management systems.

**Competitive Conditions**

We work in highly competitive markets and have numerous competitors for all of our services. The number and identity of competitors vary widely with the type of service we provide. For small to midsized projects, we compete with many engineering, architecture, construction management, and other professional consulting firms. For larger projects, we have fewer but still many competitors; however, some competitors may have greater financial and other resources than we have. Although we compete with large private and public companies in certain geographic locations, our primary competitors are small to midsized privately held regional firms.

We believe that our operating structure, our operating philosophy, our enterprise systems, and the diversity and scope of our professional services differentiate us from other engineering, architecture, construction management, and professional consulting firms.

The main competitive advantages that we have in the services we offer are reputation, experience, breadth and quality of services, technical proficiency, local offices, competitive total project fees, and integrated service delivery. Our successful track record of acquiring and integrating firms also provides us with a competitive advantage. Given the expanding demand for the services we provide, additional competitors will likely emerge. Even with this increased competition, we believe that we will compete effectively because of our strengths and expertise in engineering, architecture, construction management, and related professional services, as well as our successful track record of service delivery.

**Services**

We serve many diverse clients in the private and public sectors and aim to establish ongoing relationships with clients that are likely to produce repeat business. We work on tens of thousands of projects, for thousands of clients, in hundreds of locations, ensuring that we do not rely on a few large projects for our revenue.

In Consulting Services, we provide knowledge-based solutions through value-added professional consulting services in engineering, architecture, interior design, landscape architecture, surveying, environmental sciences, project management, and project economics in the areas of infrastructure and facilities, principally under fee-for-service agreements with clients.
In Construction Services, we provide construction management and project delivery at-risk services, primarily on water-related projects to key long-term clients in the United States and the United Kingdom. We offer start-to-finish construction capabilities to municipal, utility, federal, and industrial clients, including commissioning and start-up services. Separate from project delivery, we also provide construction management and professional supervision services. Construction Services revenue is derived primarily from cost-reimbursable, guaranteed-maximum price contracts and fixed-price contracts.

We offer a range of pricing structures to our clients but usually provide our services based on a fixed or variable-fee contract (with a ceiling) or a time-and-material contract (without a stated ceiling). Most assignments are acquired because of our expertise and contacts; others are obtained through a competitive bidding process.

Employees

As at December 31, 2016, we had approximately 22,000 staff, including professionals, technologists and technicians, and support personnel.

We are a knowledge-based organization, always seeking talented and skilled professionals for all of our specialized services. We use various recruitment strategies to address staffing needs: an employee referral bonus program, website job postings, career fairs, student programs, and opportunities to transfer to other office locations.

Social and Environmental Policies

Stantec is committed to continual improvements in all aspects of its business, including health and safety and the environment. Accordingly, Stantec has implemented two formal systems that impact these areas—the Occupational Health & Safety Management System (OHSMS) and the Environmental Management System (EMS)—across Stantec’s legacy operations. These systems are part of our Company-wide Integrated Management System (IMS). In addition, we will focus on combining the best of Stantec’s systems and processes with those acquired through the MWH transaction.

OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH & SAFETY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

In 2014, Stantec successfully developed and implemented the Occupational Health & Safety Management System (OHSMS), which received external third-party certification under the consensus-based international standard for occupational health and safety—OHSAS 18001:2007.

The objectives of our certified OHSMS are to

- Protect our employees from injury and prevent property loss and environmental damage by aligning work processes, systems, and behaviors so our employees have the necessary guidance and knowledge to complete every task safely, every time
- Comply with applicable regulatory requirements and our Health, Safety & Environment (HSE) Policy
- Meet client requirements and expectations

To address our Company’s diversity, the HSE team develops core health, safety, and environment practices that can be implemented Company-wide yet are adaptable to suit the needs of any region, business operating unit, client, or project.

Stantec tracks lagging indicators (e.g., workers’ compensation costs, total recordable injury rates) and leading indicators (e.g., site visits, file reviews, safety meetings, worksite inspections) to gauge the effectiveness of the OHSMS.

Internal practice auditors from our Practice Services team conduct internal practice audits to assess compliance and identify program strengths and opportunities for improving health and safety performance. Independent (third-party) audits of the OHSMS are conducted yearly. As well, external audits are conducted in many provinces in Canada to maintain external certifications (e.g., a certificate of recognition) that meet provincial standards.
HEALTH, SAFETY & ENVIRONMENT POLICY
We are committed to providing and maintaining a healthy, and safe workplace and to responsibly managing all of the environmental aspects of our business.

Our core Company values guide us in all that we do. The way we treat our people, our clients, and our neighbors reflects who we are, what we believe in, and how we do our work. At Stantec, we believe in doing what is right, which includes sending our people home injury-free every day.

Stantec strives to

- Identify, assess, and manage the health, safety, and environmental hazards and risks our employees are exposed to
- Minimize the environmental aspects and impacts associated with the services and products we provide
- Help our employees develop an awareness and understanding of the health, safety, and environmental issues related to their work
- Work collaboratively with employees to achieve health, safety, and environmental objectives
- Comply with legislation, regulations, and appropriate industry standards
- Monitor and enhance health, safety, and environmental practices through inspections, audits, reviews, investigations, corrective actions, and behavior-based processes
- Provide a framework which supports the continual improvement of the system
- Foster a culture in which all employees, partners, contractors, and subconsultants share a commitment to health, safety, and the environment

Everyone working for Stantec is responsible and accountable for Stantec’s health, safety, and environmental performance. Management, supervisors, employees, contractors, and subconsultants are expected to understand their roles and responsibilities, as outlined in the practices of the OHSMS and in our HSE Policy.

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
To support Stantec’s Sustainability Policy—which promotes improving our environmental performance and reducing our environmental footprint—we developed and implemented a formal Environmental Management System (EMS). Our EMS is registered to the International Organization for Standardization’s 14001:2004 environmental management standard, which is recognized internationally as the consensus environmental standard. Independent (third-party) audits of the EMS are conducted annually.

SUSTAINABILITY POLICY
Stantec is committed to being a leader and model of sustainability by doing business in a way that meets the needs of the present while contributing to an environmentally, socially, and economically sustainable future. This commitment—at the heart of how we operate and deliver solutions to our clients—is vital to our long-term success in achieving our vision.

We focus our efforts where we believe we can have a significant impact, as follows:

- Building a leading sustainability consulting practice in the markets we serve by
  - Using our expertise, experience, and influence to advance the sustainability of valued clients
  - Incorporating sustainability into all of our service offerings
  - Marketing and selling sustainable development services across all sectors
- Integrating sustainability into our overall operations and everyday practice by
  - Implementing best industry, employee, and vendor practices to reduce resource use, waste, and emissions while increasing efficiency and effectiveness
  - Fostering an understanding of sustainability at all levels of our Company in ways that are both personally and professionally relevant
- Embracing an accountable and transparent governance and leadership structure that integrates sustainability considerations into all business decisions
- Reporting on our sustainability performance and achievements

Stantec strives to achieve the following:

- Environmental Progress – reduce our impact on the environment by progressing toward least-impact approaches to resource and energy use, waste, and carbon and toxin emissions
- Social Progress – engage with stakeholders and support the communities we operate in
- Economic Viability – demonstrate that our sustainability efforts lead to long-term business vibrancy and viability in concert with our vision, strategic plan, and business objectives

The CEO is responsible for monitoring compliance with the Sustainability Policy and has designated senior leadership responsibility to the senior vice president of Practice Services, who is responsible for implementing sustainability into Stantec’s operations.

**Global Operations**

In 2016, Stantec remained active internationally; gross revenue from Global operations was approximately $415.6 million.

Following the MWH acquisition, we perform work and have permanent offices located in the United Kingdom, Australia, New Zealand, South and Central America, Europe, the Middle East, and the Caribbean.

For Stantec’s legacy operations, all projects are reviewed in accordance with Stantec’s Project Management Framework, which includes following legal, financial, and technical processes, among other things. As well, each in-country project is examined to ensure that any health, safety, and security or political risks are acceptable. All major projects are subject to major project reviews, when applicable, and all projects have an executive leadership sponsor. In addition, we will focus on combining the best of Stantec’s systems and processes with those acquired through the MWH transaction.

**Risk Factors**

For a review of the risks pertaining to our Company, please refer to our MD&A for the year ended December 31, 2016, pages M-68 to M-78 (incorporated by reference in this AIF and filed on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and on EDGAR as an exhibit to our Form 40-F at www.sec.gov).

**Dividends**

On February 15, 2012, Stantec’s board of directors approved our Dividend Policy and concurrently declared Stantec’s first quarterly dividend. Pursuant to our Dividend Policy, the Company anticipates it will pay a quarterly dividend to shareholders of record on the last business day of each quarter with actual payment to be made to those shareholders on or about the 15th day of the following month.
Since the adoption of our Dividend Policy, we have paid quarterly dividends on our common shares. While the Company aims to declare and pay a dividend quarterly, our Dividend Policy is at the sole discretion of our board of directors and may vary depending on a variety of factors, including prevailing economic and market conditions, the Company’s earnings, the financial requirements for the Company’s operations, the business strategy of the Company, the provisions of applicable law, and any other factors that our board of directors considers relevant. Therefore, Stantec cannot guarantee that our Dividend Policy will be maintained.

On September 4, 2014, our board of directors declared a two-for-one share split to be effected by way of a share dividend. Shareholders of record as of the close of business on October 31, 2014, were entitled to the share dividend on the payment date of November 14, 2014.

The following table outlines cash dividends paid per common share in 2016, 2015, and 2014. Dividends paid per common share before the share split have been restated to half of the previously reported amounts to reflect the two-for-one share split described in the previous paragraph.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year (Total)</th>
<th>Q4</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.1125</td>
<td>0.1125</td>
<td>0.1125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.0925</td>
<td>0.0925</td>
<td>0.0925</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of Capital Structure

Our authorized share capital consists of an unlimited number of preferred shares, issuable in series, and an unlimited number of common shares. As at December 31, 2016, no preferred shares and 114,081,229 common shares were issued and outstanding.

Preferred Shares

Preferred shares may be issued in one or more series. The board of directors determines the number of shares and the rights, privileges, restrictions, and conditions attaching to each series. The holders of the preferred shares as a class are not entitled to receive notice of or to attend any shareholders’ meeting and are not entitled to vote at any shareholders’ meeting, except to approve amendments to the terms of the preferred shares as a class or as required by law.

Each series of preferred shares will rank *pari passu* with each of the other series of preferred shares with respect to the entitlement to dividends and distribution of assets in the event of the liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of Stantec. Preferred shares as a class rank ahead of common shares with respect to entitlement to dividends and distribution of assets in the event of the liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of Stantec.

Common Shares

The holders of common shares are entitled to receive, as and when declared by our board of directors, dividends in an amount and form that our board of directors may from time to time determine. Holders of common shares are entitled to receive notice of and to attend all shareholders’ meetings. They will have one vote for each common share held at all such meetings, unless the meeting is only for holders of another specified class or series of our shares who are entitled to vote separately as a class or series.
Common shares rank behind preferred shares with respect to entitlement to dividends and distribution of assets in the event of the liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of Stantec.

**Market for Securities**

**Trading Price and Volume**

Our common shares are listed for trading on the TSX and New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol “STN”.

The following table outlines the monthly trading data on the TSX for January 1 to December 31, 2016:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>$34.26</td>
<td>$30.75</td>
<td>5,797,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>$34.16</td>
<td>$27.99</td>
<td>6,240,556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>$34.31</td>
<td>$28.18</td>
<td>7,002,926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>$33.96</td>
<td>$31.00</td>
<td>8,880,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>$34.60</td>
<td>$31.23</td>
<td>4,643,634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>$34.55</td>
<td>$30.49</td>
<td>6,394,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>$34.08</td>
<td>$30.98</td>
<td>3,353,275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>$33.24</td>
<td>$29.06</td>
<td>7,804,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>$32.42</td>
<td>$29.56</td>
<td>4,290,476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>$31.20</td>
<td>$29.28</td>
<td>2,933,141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>$36.57</td>
<td>$28.74</td>
<td>8,216,549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>$36.85</td>
<td>$33.90</td>
<td>3,932,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>69,490,105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following table outlines the monthly trading data on the NYSE (in US$) for January 1 to December 31, 2016:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>$24.43</td>
<td>$21.14</td>
<td>293,974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>$24.53</td>
<td>$20.71</td>
<td>284,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>$26.44</td>
<td>$20.89</td>
<td>406,123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>$26.87</td>
<td>$24.18</td>
<td>306,265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>$26.43</td>
<td>$24.26</td>
<td>258,466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>$26.68</td>
<td>$23.30</td>
<td>310,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>$26.10</td>
<td>$23.78</td>
<td>301,173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>$25.43</td>
<td>$22.09</td>
<td>684,215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>$24.80</td>
<td>$22.42</td>
<td>325,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>$23.75</td>
<td>$21.90</td>
<td>251,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>$26.90</td>
<td>$21.50</td>
<td>466,874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>$27.65</td>
<td>$25.25</td>
<td>304,208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,193,311</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following table lists Stantec’s directors as of February 22, 2017, and their municipality of residence and principal occupation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and Municipality of Residence</th>
<th>Principal Occupation</th>
<th>Director Since</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Douglas K. Ammerman</strong>&lt;br&gt;Laguna Beach, California, United States</td>
<td>Corporate Director</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delores M. Etter</strong>&lt;br&gt;Dallas, Texas, United States</td>
<td>Professor of Electrical/Computer Engineering</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anthony P. Franceschini</strong>&lt;br&gt;Edmonton, Alberta, Canada</td>
<td>Corporate Director</td>
<td>1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Robert J. Gomes</strong>&lt;br&gt;Edmonton, Alberta, Canada</td>
<td>President &amp; CEO of Stantec Inc.</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Susan E. Hartman</strong>&lt;br&gt;Evergreen, Colorado, United States</td>
<td>President &amp; CEO of The Hartman Group (a management consulting firm)</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aram H. Keith</strong>&lt;sup&gt;(1)&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;br&gt;Monarch Beach, California, United States</td>
<td>Corporate Director</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Donald J. Lowry</strong>&lt;br&gt;Edmonton, Alberta, Canada</td>
<td>Corporate Director</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marie-Lucie Morin</strong>&lt;br&gt;Ottawa, Ontario, Canada</td>
<td>Corporate Director</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ivor M. Ruste</strong>&lt;br&gt;Calgary, Alberta, Canada</td>
<td>Executive Vice President and CFO, Cenovus Energy Inc. (a Canadian oil company)</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Mr. Keith is the chair of our board.

All Stantec directors are elected annually and hold office until the next annual shareholders’ meeting or until their earlier resignation. All directors have held the positions listed in the previous table or other executive positions with the same or associated firms or organizations during the past five years or more, except Mr. Lowry, who was president and CEO of EPCOR Utilities Inc. until March 2013, and Ms. Morin, who was an executive director at the World Bank in Washington, DC, until January 2013, and subsequently, a corporate director and consultant until December 2015.
The following table lists the executive officers of Stantec as of February 22, 2017, and their municipality of residence, and principal occupation within the five preceding years:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and Municipality of Residence</th>
<th>Officer Position Held</th>
<th>Principal Occupation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Robert J. Gomes</strong>&lt;br&gt;Edmonton, Alberta, Canada</td>
<td>President &amp; CEO</td>
<td>President &amp; CEO, Stantec Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Daniel J. Lefaivre</strong>&lt;br&gt;St. Albert, Alberta, Canada</td>
<td>Executive Vice President &amp; CFO</td>
<td>Executive Vice President &amp; CFO, Stantec Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scott L. Murray</strong>&lt;br&gt;Lexington, Kentucky, United States</td>
<td>Executive Vice President &amp; COO</td>
<td>Executive Vice President &amp; COO, Stantec Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Valentino DiManno</strong>&lt;br&gt;Calgary, Alberta, Canada</td>
<td>Executive Vice President &amp; CBO</td>
<td>Executive Vice President &amp; CBO, Stantec Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Paul J. D. Alpern</strong>&lt;br&gt;Sherwood Park, Alberta, Canada</td>
<td>Senior Vice President, Secretary &amp; General Counsel</td>
<td>Senior Vice President, Secretary &amp; General Counsel, Stantec Inc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) As chair of our board, Aram H. Keith is a nonexecutive officer of Stantec Inc.

Before his appointment to COO on January 1, 2016, Scott Murray joined Stantec with the acquisition of Fuller, Mossbarger, Scott & May Engineers in 2008 and was appointed EVP and regional operating unit leader for the United States in 2013. Before he was appointed CBO on January 1, 2016, Valentino DiManno occupied the role of senior vice president of Canada from 2005 to 2013, when he was appointed EVP and regional operating unit leader for Canada and business operating unit leader for Energy & Resources. All other executive officers have held the positions listed in the previous table or other executive positions with the same or associated firms or organizations during the past five years or more.

The following lists the members of each committee of the board in 2016:

- **Audit and Risk Committee**
  - January to May – Ivor M. Ruste (chair), Douglas K. Ammerman, David L. Emerson,(1) Delores M. Etter
  - May to December – Ivor M. Ruste (chair), Douglas K. Ammerman, Anthony P. Franceschini, Donald J. Lowry

- **Corporate Governance and Compensation Committee** – Susan E. Hartman (chair), Delores M. Etter, Donald J. Lowry; Marie-Lucie Morin joined this committee on November 10, 2016

**Directors’ and Executive Officers’ Share Ownership**

As a group, our directors and executive officers beneficially owned, controlled, or directed, either directly or indirectly, 1,070,057 common shares representing 0.94% of our issued and outstanding common shares as of December 31, 2016.

(1) Mr. Emerson did not stand for re-election as a director of Stantec at our annual general meeting of shareholders held on May 12, 2016, and thus his service as a director ended on that date.
Audit and Risk Committee Information

Audit and Risk Committee Terms of Reference

The responsibilities and duties of our Audit and Risk Committee are set out in the committee’s Terms of Reference (Mandate), which is attached as Appendix I to this AIF.

Composition of the Audit and Risk Committee

Our Audit and Risk Committee members are Ivor M. Ruste (chair), Douglas K. Ammerman, Anthony P. Franceschini, and Donald J. Lowry.

The board of directors believes that the composition of the Audit and Risk Committee reflects an appropriate level of financial literacy and expertise. The board determined that each committee member is “independent” and “financially literate” (as those terms are defined under applicable Canadian and US securities laws). In addition, Mr. Ruste and Mr. Ammerman are each an “audit committee financial expert” (as this term is defined in the rules and regulations of the US Securities and Exchange Commission [SEC]).

The following information describes each committee member’s education and experience that is relevant to the performance of his or her committee responsibilities.

IVOR M. RUSTE

Mr. Ruste is currently executive vice president and CFO of Cenovus Energy Inc., a Canadian oil company headquartered in Calgary. He has a bachelor of commerce degree (with distinction) from the University of Alberta and is a fellow chartered accountant. From May 2006 to November 2009, Mr. Ruste worked for EnCana Corporation, and before joining Cenovus, he was executive vice president, Corporate Responsibility, and chief risk officer at EnCana. From 1998 to 2006, he was the managing partner of the Edmonton office of KPMG LLP and the Alberta regional managing partner and vice chair of the KPMG Canada board of directors. Mr. Ruste has been active in numerous other business, community, and professional endeavors.

As a chartered accountant with more than 30 years’ experience working as a senior financial executive and an auditor with KPMG LLP of both public and private companies, Mr. Ruste has reviewed and audited many complex financial statements and prepared interim and annual financial statements in accordance with both Canadian and US generally accepted accounting standards.

As of December 31, 2016, Mr. Ruste owned 16,867 common shares valued at $572,129 and 63,978 deferred share units valued at $2,215,575.

DOUGLAS K. AMMERMAN

Mr. Ammerman is a retired partner with KPMG LLP. Mr. Ammerman was with KPMG for almost 30 years, and during that time, he served as the national practice partner, the managing partner of the Orange County office, and a member of KPMG’s nominating committee for its board of directors. He holds a master’s degree in business taxation from the University of Southern California, as well as a bachelor of arts degree with an accounting emphasis from California State University at Fullerton. Mr. Ammerman is past president and director emeritus of the Pacific Club and served in the Reagan administration as Special Assistant to the Secretary of Interior. He currently serves on the board of directors and audit committees of Fidelity National Financial, Inc.; William Lyon Homes Inc.; El Pollo Loco Holdings, Inc.; and J. Alexander’s Holdings, Inc.
With nearly 30 years’ experience in public accounting and as an audit committee member on a number of other boards, Mr. Ammerman has a strong familiarity with the preparation and review of interim and annual financial statements and is a valuable asset to the committee.

As of December 31, 2016, Mr. Ammerman owned 26,635 common shares valued at $903,459 and 33,217 deferred share units valued at $1,150,306.

ANTHONY P. FRANCESCHINI
Tony Franceschini was employed by Stantec between January 1978 and May 2009. He provided consulting services, management, and leadership, and served as president and CEO from June 1, 1998, until his retirement on May 14, 2009. He has been a director of Stantec since the Company became publicly traded in March 1994 and is a director of three other public companies: Esterline Technologies Corporation, ZCL Composites Inc., and AECON Group Inc.

As the former president and CEO of Stantec and with experience as a director and committee member of three other public companies, Mr. Franceschini has acquired significant experience, allowing him to act as a member of the audit committee.

As of December 31, 2016, Mr. Franceschini owned 302,783 common shares valued at $10,270,399 and 48,597 deferred share units valued at $1,682,940.

DONALD J. LOWRY
Donald Lowry retired in March 2013 as president and CEO of EPCOR Utilities Inc. He remains chair of Capital Power Corporation, a publicly traded company formed when EPCOR spun off its generation business in July 2009. In 2011, he was named Alberta Business Person of the Year by Alberta Venture magazine. In January 2014, Mr. Lowry was named Alberta Resource Person of the Year for 2014 by the Alberta Chamber of Resources. He also acted as chair of the International Triathlon Union for the grand final event held in Edmonton in 2014 and 2015. Mr. Lowry was the past chair of Canadian Oilsands Limited (TSX-COS) until February 2016, when the company was acquired. Mr. Lowry holds a bachelor of commerce (honors) and master of business administration degrees from the University of Manitoba, and he is a graduate of the Harvard Advanced Management Program and the Banff School of Management. Mr. Lowry obtained his Institute of Corporate Directors designation in January 2016 from the Rotman School of Business. He has more than 30 years of industry experience in the utilities, telecommunications, and power generation sectors.

Mr. Lowry’s education, past role as CEO and president of EPCOR Utilities Inc., and service on a number of boards and audit committees provides him with the relevant experience to perform his responsibilities as an audit committee member.

As of December 31, 2016, Mr. Lowry owned 10,600 common shares valued at $359,552 and 23,555 deferred share units valued at $815,732.

Preapproval Policy
The Audit and Risk Committee must preapprove the audit and non-audit services performed by the independent auditor to ensure that the provision of those services does not impair the auditor’s independence. Unless a type of service to be provided by the independent auditor has received general preapproval, it will require specific preapproval by the committee. Proposed services that exceed preapproved cost levels will require specific preapproval by the committee.
## External Auditor Service Fees

Aggregate fees paid to Ernst & Young LLP, our external auditor, during fiscal years ended December 31, 2016, and 2015 follow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Note</th>
<th>2016 ($)</th>
<th>2015 ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audit fees</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4,265,000</td>
<td>1,423,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit-related fees</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22,400</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax fees</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2,369,300</td>
<td>656,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other fees</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,148,200</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fees</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,804,900</td>
<td>2,102,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Audit fees: Audit services provided by Ernst & Young LLP for the audit and review of Stantec’s financial statements or services normally provided by Ernst & Young LLP in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements, including the audit of the MWH opening balance sheet.
2. Audit-related fees: Assurance and related services provided by Ernst & Young LLP. These services can include accounting consultations and attest services not required by statute or regulation.
3. Tax fees: Professional services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP for income tax compliance of $628,000. These generally involve preparing US original and amended tax returns and claims for refund, tax planning, and tax advice. $455,000 is for services rendered for tax due diligence related to the MWH acquisition, with the remaining $1,286,300 for tax advice relating to mergers, acquisitions, financing structures and tax planning, mainly related to the MWH acquisition.
4. All other fees: Professional services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP for financial due diligence provided in connection with the MWH acquisition completed during 2016.

## Legal Proceedings and Regulatory Actions

We have pending legal claims and suits both by and against us. These are typical of the industries we operate in. Where appropriate, these claims have been reported to our insurers and the insurers of our predecessors, who are in the process of adjusting or defending them. None are expected to have a material effect on our financial position.

No penalties or sanctions have been imposed against us by a court relating to provincial and territorial securities legislation or by a securities regulatory authority. Nor have any other penalties or sanctions been imposed by a court or regulatory body against us that would likely be considered important to a reasonable investor in making an investment decision. We have not entered into any settlement agreements before a court relating to provincial and territorial securities legislation or with a securities regulatory authority.

## Transfer Agent

Computershare Trust Company of Canada is our transfer agent for our common shares listed on the TSX and NYSE at its offices in Calgary, Alberta; Toronto, Ontario; and Canton, Massachusetts.
Material Contracts

We did not enter into any material contracts outside the ordinary course of business in 2016, other than the following contracts, all of which were entered into within the last financial year and have been filed on SEDAR:

- Agreement and Plan of Merger dated March 29, 2016 among Stantec Inc., Mustang Acquisition, Inc., and MWH Global, Inc. with respect to the acquisition of MWH Global, Inc. through a merger of Mustang Acquisition, Inc. with and into MWH Global, Inc.
- Underwriting Agreement dated March 30, 2016 between Stantec Inc. and CIBC World Markets Inc. and RBC Dominion Securities Inc. as lead underwriters with respect to the purchase of subscription receipts related to the MWH acquisition
- Subscription Receipt Agreement dated April 14, 2016 among Stantec Inc., CIBC World Markets Inc., and RBC Dominion Securities Inc. as lead underwriters and Computershare Trust Company of Canada as subscription receipt agent with respect to the sale of subscription receipts related to the MWH acquisition
- Credit Agreement dated May 6, 2016, as amended on June 23, 2016, and October 24, 2016 among Stantec Inc. as borrower; CIBC as administrative agent, sole lead arranger and sole bookrunner; CIBC, HSBC Bank Canada, and Bank of America, N.A., Canada Branch as issuing banks; and certain other lenders with respect to the provision of certain credit facilities to Stantec Inc.

We consider the acquisition of professional services firms to be in the ordinary course of our business. Following the MWH acquisition, we also consider the provision of construction services to be in the ordinary course of our business.

Interests of Experts

The Company’s auditor, Ernst & Young LLP, Chartered Professional Accountants, is at 10423 – 101 Street, Suite 1400, Edmonton, Alberta, T5H 0E7. The auditor is independent in accordance with the Rules of Professional Conduct of the Institute of Chartered Professional Accountants of Alberta and has complied with the SEC’s rules on auditor independence.

Additional Information

Additional financial information is provided in our financial statements and our MD&A for our most recently completed financial year. Additional information contained in our Management Information Circular includes directors’ and officers’ remuneration and indebtedness, the principal holders of our securities, and securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans.

Copies of this AIF, as well as our latest Management Information Circular and Annual Report (which includes our MD&A and Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2016), may be obtained from our website at www.stantec.com or by mail on request from the Secretary at 10160 – 112 Street, Edmonton, Alberta, T5K 2L6. Additional information relating to our Company, including disclosure documents and any reports, statements, or other information that we file with Canadian provincial securities commissions or other similar regulatory authorities, is also available through SEDAR at www.sedar.com.
NYSE Corporate Governance Disclosure

As a foreign private issuer listed on the NYSE, we are generally entitled to follow the Canadian requirements to the extent not contrary to US securities laws, including the rules of National Instrument 58-101 and National Policy 58-201, with respect to corporate governance practices. Pursuant to Section 303A.11 of the NYSE’s Listed Company Manual, we are required to identify any significant ways that our corporate governance practices differ from those followed by US domestic companies under the NYSE’s listing standards. These differences can be found on our website at www.stantec.com.
Appendix I – Audit and Risk Committee – Terms of Reference (Mandate)

The following are the Audit and Risk Committee’s Terms of Reference (Mandate) effective as of February 22, 2017.

A. Overview and Purpose
The Audit and Risk Committee is appointed by, and responsible to, the board of directors. The committee approves, monitors, evaluates, advises, and makes recommendations, in accordance with these terms of reference, on matters affecting the external and internal audits, risk management matters, the integrity of financial reporting, and the accounting control policies and practices of the Company. The involvement of the committee in overseeing the financial reporting process, including assessing the reasonableness of management’s accounting judgments and estimates and reviewing key filings with regulatory agencies is an important element of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. The committee has oversight responsibility for the performance of both the internal auditors and the external auditors. The committee also ensures the qualifications and independence of the external auditors. The committee has oversight of the Company’s compliance with legal and regulatory requirements.

It is not the duty of the committee to plan or conduct audits or to determine that the Company’s financial statements are complete, accurate, and in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards.

B. Authority and Responsibilities
The Audit and Risk Committee shall

- Request such information and explanations in regard to the accounts of the Company as the committee may consider necessary and appropriate to carry out its duties and responsibilities.
- Consider any other matters which, in the opinion of the committee or at the request of the board, would assist the directors to meet their responsibilities.
- Provide reports and minutes of meetings to the board.
- Engage independent counsel and other advisors as may be deemed or considered necessary, and determine the fees of such counsel and advisors. Receive confirmation from management that the Company has provided for adequate funding for the payment of compensation to the independent counsel and other advisors.

C. Membership
The members of the committee shall be composed of a minimum of three independent directors, appointed by the board, all of whom must be financially literate as defined under the rules of the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) and applicable Canadian securities laws. At least one member shall have accounting or related financial management expertise and be an audit committee financial expert as defined in SEC regulations. For greater clarity, the board has adopted the definition of “independent director” as set out in Multilateral Instrument 52-110 of the Canadian Securities Administrators. The chair of the board of directors shall be an ex-officio member of the Audit and Risk Committee, in addition to the minimum number of required independent directors.

The chair of the committee shall be designated by the board.

Attendance by invitation at all or a portion of committee meetings is determined by the committee chair or its members and would normally include the chief financial officer of the Company, representatives of the external auditor, the internal auditor, and such other officers or support staff as may be deemed appropriate.
D. Financial Statements and Disclosures

1. Review and recommend to the board for approval the annual audited financial statements and management discussion and analysis.

2. Review and recommend to the board for approval the following public disclosure documents:
   a. The annual Management Information Circular and proxy materials
   b. The Annual Information Form, including any regulatory requirements for Audit and Risk Committee reporting obligations
   c. The year-end news release on the earnings of the Company
   d. Other regulatory filings of a financial nature

3. Review and, if appropriate, approve and authorize the release of the quarterly unaudited financial statements, including Management’s Discussion and Analysis, the quarterly interim report to shareholders, and the quarterly press release on the earnings of the Company. However, in the event that there is a significant or extraordinary matter that, in the opinion of the committee, should be reviewed by the board before the release of such information, the matter shall be referred to the board for review.

4. Receive the quarterly report from the Disclosure Committee on the adequacy of disclosure with respect to material events in the Company’s financial statements, Management’s Discussion and Analysis, and earnings press releases.

5. Receive annually an evaluation from the internal auditor of the procedures that exist for the review of financial information (extracted or derived from the financial statements) that is publicly disclosed by the Company.

6. Review and recommend to the board for approval all annual financial statements, reports of a financial nature (other than quarterly unaudited financial statements), and the financial content of prospectuses or any other reports that require approval by the board prior to submission thereof to any regulatory authority.

7. Review the Audit and Risk Committee information required as part of the Annual Information Form.

8. Review with management on an annual basis, the Company’s obligations pursuant to guarantees (including those granted under the Surety Credit Facility) that have been issued and material obligations that have been entered into and the manner in which these guarantees and obligations have been, or should be, disclosed in the financial statements.

9. Review and assess, in conjunction with management and the external auditor, at least annually or on a quarterly basis where appropriate or required
   a. The appropriateness of accounting policies and financial reporting practices used by the Company, including alternative treatments that are available for consideration
   b. Any significant proposed changes in financial reporting and accounting policies and practices to be adopted by the Company
   c. Any new or pending developments in accounting and reporting standards that may affect or impact on the Company
   d. Any off-balance sheet structures
   e. The key estimates and judgments of management that may be material to the financial reporting of the Company

10. At least annually, request the external auditor to provide their views on the quality (not just the acceptability) of the Company’s annual and interim financial reporting. Such quality assessment should encompass judgments about the appropriateness, aggressiveness, or conservatism of estimates and elective accounting principles or methods and judgments about the clarity of disclosures.

11. Review any litigation, claim, or other contingency, including tax assessments, that could have a material effect upon the financial position or operating results of the Company and the manner in which these matters have been disclosed in the financial statements.

12. Review with management on a quarterly basis the indicators of impairment to the Company’s goodwill.
E. External Auditor

13 Assess the performance and consider the annual appointment of an external auditor for recommendation to
the board for ultimate recommendation for appointment by the shareholders.

14 Review, approve, and execute the annual engagement letter with the external auditor, and ensure that there is
a clear understanding between the board, the committee, the external auditor, and management that the
external auditor reports directly to the shareholders and the board through the committee. The terms of the
engagement letter or the annual audit plan should include, but not be limited to, the following:
   a. Staffing
   b. Objectives and scope of the external audit work
   c. Materiality limits
   d. Audit reports required
   e. Areas of audit risk
   f. Timetable
   g. Proposed fees

15 Obtain and review a report from the external auditor at least annually regarding the auditor’s independence
and the profession’s or audit firm’s requirements regarding audit partner rotation.

16 Approve, before the fact, the engagement of the external auditor for all nonaudit services and the fees for
such services, and consider the impact on the independence of the external audit work of fees for such
nonaudit services.

17 Review all fees paid to the external auditor for audit services and, if appropriate, recommend their approval
to the board. Receive confirmation from management that the Company has provided for adequate funding
for the payment of compensation to the external auditor.

18 Receive an annual certification from the external auditor that they participate in the public oversight program
established by the Canadian Public Accountability Board (CPAB) and the standards of the US Public
Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) and that they are in good standing with the CPAB and the
PCAOB.

19 Review a report from the external auditors describing (a) the firm’s internal quality control procedures and
(b) any material issues raised by the most recent internal quality control review or peer review of the firm or
by any inquiry or investigation by governmental or professional authorities within the preceding five years
regarding the audits carried out by the external auditor together with any steps taken to deal with any such
issues.

20 Receive and resolve any disagreements between management and the external auditor regarding all aspects of
the Company’s financial reporting.

21 Review with the external auditor the results of the annual audit examination including, but not limited to, the
following:
   a. Any difficulties encountered, or restrictions imposed by management, during the annual audit
   b. Any significant accounting or financial reporting issues
   c. The auditor’s evaluation of the Company’s internal controls over financial reporting and management’s
evaluation thereon, including internal control deficiencies identified by the auditor that have not been
previously reported to the committee
   d. The auditor’s evaluation of the selection and application of accounting principles and estimates and the
presentation of disclosures
   e. The post-audit or management letter or other material written communications containing any findings or
recommendations of the external auditor including management’s response thereto and the subsequent
follow-up to any identified internal accounting control weaknesses
   f. Any other matters which the external auditor should bring to the attention of the committee
Meet with the external auditor at every meeting of the committee or as requested by the auditor, without management representatives present, and meet with management, at least annually or as requested by management, without the external auditor present.

When there is to be a change in the external auditor, review all issues related to the change, including the information to be included in the notice of change of auditor called for under National Instrument 51-102 and the planned steps for an orderly transition.

Review and approve the Company’s hiring policies regarding employees and former employees of the present and former external auditors of the Company.

Receive comments from the external auditor on their assessment of the effectiveness of the committee’s oversight of internal control over financial reporting.

Conduct an annual review of the external auditor, with the intention of identifying potential areas for improvement for the audit firm, and to reach a final conclusion on whether the auditor should be reappointed or the audit put out for tender.

F. Internal Audit

Review the appointment or termination of the internal auditor.

Review and approve the internal audit charter periodically (at least every three years).

Review and approve the annual audit plan of the internal auditor (where applicable) and ensure that there is a clear understanding between the board, the committee, the internal auditor, and management that the internal auditor reports directly to the board through the committee. Receive confirmation from management that the Company has provided for adequate funding for the internal auditor. The terms of the audit plan should include, but not be limited to, the following:

a. Staffing
b. Objectives and scope of the internal audit work
c. Materiality limits
d. Audit reports required
e. Areas of audit risk
f. Timetable
g. Proposed budget

Review with the internal auditor the results of their audit examination, including, but not be limited to, the following:

a. Any difficulties encountered, or restrictions imposed by management, during the audit
b. Any significant accounting or financial reporting issues
c. The auditor’s evaluation of the Company’s system of internal accounting controls, procedures, and documentation
d. The internal audit reports or other material written communications containing any findings or recommendations of the internal auditor, including management’s response thereto and the subsequent follow-up to any identified internal accounting control weaknesses
e. Any other matters which the internal auditor should bring to the attention of the committee

Meet with the internal auditor at every meeting of the committee or as requested by the internal auditor, without management representatives present.

G. Internal Controls

Obtain reasonable assurance, through discussions with and reports from management, the external auditor, and the internal auditors, that the accounting systems are reliable, the system for preparation of financial data reported to the market is adequate and effective, and the system of internal controls is effectively designed and implemented.
Review management’s annual report on the effectiveness of internal controls and procedures, as well as quarterly and annual chief executive officer and chief financial officer certificates filed pursuant to securities regulations.

Receive reports from management and/or the internal auditor on all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses identified.

Review annually, or as required, the appropriateness of the system of internal controls and approval policies and practices concerning the expenses of the officers of the Company, including the use of its assets.

Review and approve, on a quarterly after-the-fact basis, the expense accounts of the board chair and of the chief executive officer of the Company.

H. Risk

General

Review at least annually with management

a. The Company’s method of identifying, evaluating, mitigating and reporting on the principal risks inherent in the Company’s businesses and strategic directions

b. The systems, policies and practices applicable to the Company’s assessment, management, prevention and mitigation of risks (including strategic, operating, compliance, and reputation, as well as financial risks including but not limited to the foreign currency, liquidity and interest rate risk, the use of derivative instruments, counterparty credit exposure, litigation, and adequacy of tax provisions)

c. The Company’s risk appetite, risk tolerance, and risk retention philosophy, including the Company’s loss prevention policies and insurance programs and corporate liability protection programs for directors and officers, as well as disaster response and business continuity plans

Receive an annual report from and review with management the status of the Company’s principal and emerging risks, as well as the related mitigation programs (the Enterprise Risk Management program). Receive quarterly updates from management on the Company’s Enterprise Risk Management program.

Review with management the disclosures of the Company’s risks and risk factors in the Company’s Annual Information Form, the Management’s Discussion and Analysis, and other regulatory filings.

Report to the board annually on its activities in connection with the risk oversight role referenced herein so that the board as a whole can fulfill its responsibilities for risk oversight.

Receive a risk assessment report from management following due diligence on acquisitions within North America with an enterprise value of $C100 million or greater, all acquisitions outside North America with an enterprise value of $C50 million or greater, and all acquisitions with atypical risks compared to current service and business model, make such further inquiries as considered necessary, and report thereon to the board. The content of the risk assessment report will be developed by the committee in conjunction with management with appropriate case-by-case enhancements to reflect risk associated with each opportunity, and will be reviewed annually by the committee.

Finance

Review and assess, in conjunction with management and the external auditor, at least annually or on a quarterly basis where appropriate or required, the impact of the Company’s capital structure on current and future profitability.

Review and recommend to the board of directors proposals requesting a grant of a guarantee issued by Stantec for an amount in excess of $50 million, prior to issuance.

Review and recommend to the board of directors proposals requesting a grant of a surety bond issued by Stantec or its subsidiaries for (a) an amount in excess of $50 million individually or (b) where by virtue of the grant of such surety bond would put the aggregate value of all surety bonds issued and outstanding in excess of $500 million, prior to issuance.
Review and approve, if appropriate and as required, the decision to enter into swaps that are exempt from the requirements of sections 2(h)(1) and 2(h)(8) of the US Commodity Exchange Act and to exercise the end-user exception.

Review and approve, as required, any policies with respect to swaps, hedging activities, clearing, and the end-user exception.

I. Compliance/Fraud

Receive quarterly reports on the Company’s fraud risk assessment activities.

In accordance with the Company’s integrity practices, review and determine the disposition of any complaints or correspondence received under the policy.

Discuss with management the Company’s policies and procedures designed to ensure an effective compliance and ethics program, including the Company’s code of ethics.

Discuss with management and the Company’s in-house legal counsel any legal matters that may have a material impact on the financial statements or the Company’s compliance requirements.

Review quarterly the compliance certificate of the chief financial officer.

J. Other

Review, as required, any claims of indemnification pursuant to the bylaws of the Company.

Receive at least annually a report from the chief financial officer regarding private aircraft use, including itinerary and passenger manifest.

Review and determine the disposition of any complaints received from shareholders or any regulatory body.

Conduct an annual assessment of the effectiveness of the committee and provide a report thereon to the board.

Review annually the terms of reference for the committee and recommend any required changes to the board.

K. Meetings

Regular meetings of the committee are held at least four times each year.

Meetings may be called by the committee chair or by a majority of the committee members, usually in consultation with management of the Company.

Meetings are chaired by the committee chair or, in the chair’s absence, by a member chosen from among the committee.

A quorum for the transaction of business at any meeting of the committee is a majority of the appointed members.

The secretary of the Company shall provide for the delivery of notices, agendas, and supporting materials to the committee members at least five days prior to the meeting except in unusual circumstances.

Meetings may be conducted with members present or by telephone or other communications facilities that permit all persons participating in the meeting to hear or communicate with each other.

A written resolution signed by all committee members entitled to vote on that resolution at a meeting of the committee is as valid as one passed at a committee meeting.

The secretary of the Company, or his or her designate, shall be the secretary for the committee and shall keep a record of minutes of all meetings of the committee.

Minutes of the meetings of the committee shall be distributed by the secretary of the Company to all members of the committee and shall be submitted for approval at the next regular meeting of the committee.